UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,464	06/30/2003	Scott Traynham Stillman	190250-1040	1605	
	38823 7590 05/16/2008 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/			EXAMINER	
AT&T Delaware Intellectual Property, Inc.			JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
SUITE 1500	LLERIA PARKWAY, S.E. 1500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994		2614			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/611,464	STILLMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Fe</u>	ebruary 2008					
	action is non-final.					
· -						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/611,464 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Based upon the submitted election in response to a restriction, the examiner notes that claims 1-4 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 5-8,11,12,14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pagani et al. (US 20020095484 A1).

As per **claim 5**, Pagani discloses a system to remotely configure diverse broadband components (ABSTRACT) over a PSTN. As such the system inherently comprises sending a configuration request through multiple components in order to provide the disclosed configuring function.

As per **claims 6,7** the diverse equipment would each have different protocols, and inherently comprise the means to translate between protocols. The examiner further

Application/Control Number: 10/611,464 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

notes the extremely well known concept of an **internet** where any number of various components of different signaling protocol may communicate with each other.

As per **claim 8**, the system may configure DSL ports (para 1.)

As per **claims 11,12**, the examiner reads the configuring of DSL ports as comprising a configuring step and a 'verifying' step, and a receiving information step.

As per **claim 14**, examiner note that any managing component in the network could be considered a 'network management system'.

As per **claims 15,16**, **(para. 41)** the broadband equipment responds to configuration commands (inherently must be translated between protocols). The response may indicate the status of the equipment (if it was changed or not).

As per claims 17.18 they are rejected as per the claim 5,6,14,15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9,10,13, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pagani et al. (US 20020095484 A1) as applied to claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,464 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

As per claims 9,10,13, Pagani discloses technicians may remotely configure the

DSL equipment, but does not specify the sepcific input means used by the technicians,

such as an IVR or DTMF signaling scheme. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that any well known user input

interface could be implemented (such as a voice or DTMF) for the advantage of

useability.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications

and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

May 18, 2008